**ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT** 

For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

#### **ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT**

#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	rages
Introductory Section City Officials	. 1
Financial Section Independent Auditor's Report	. 2-3
Management's Discussion and Analysis	. 4-8
Basic Financial Statements Government - Wide Financial Statements Statement of Net Position	
Fund Financial Statements  Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds  Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position  Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in	. 12
Fund Balances - Governmental Funds	
Notes to Financial Statements	. 15-35
Required Supplementary Information	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - Budget to Actual - General Fund	
Multiple Employer, Cost Sharing, Defined Benefit Pension Plan Disclosure - Non-Hazardous  Multiple Employer, Cost Sharing, Defined Benefit Pension Plan Disclosure - Hazardous	
Multiple Employer, Cost Sharing, Defined Benefit OPEB Plan Disclosure - Non-Hazardous  Multiple Employer, Cost Sharing, Defined Benefit OPEB Plan Disclosure - Hazardous	. 40
Supplementary Information	
Combining Balance Sheet - Special Revenue Funds  Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Special Revenue Funds	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	. 44-45

#### **CITY OFFICIALS**

As of June 30, 2019

#### **Mayor**

**David Hatter** 

#### **Council Members**

Dave Abeln Adam Feinauer

Mike Hoerlein Scott Wall

Bernie Wessels Margie Witt

#### **City Administrator**

Jill Cain Bailey



Charles A. Van Gorder, CPA Lori A. Owen, CPA John R. Chamberlin, CPA, MBA Members of AICPA & KyCPA Licensed in Kentucky & Ohio

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the Council of the City of Fort Wright, Kentucky

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the City of Fort Wright, Kentucky, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### -Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### -Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### -Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the City of Fort Wright, Kentucky as of June 30, 2019, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.



#### **Other Matters**

#### -Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the *Management's Discussion and Analysis* on pages 4–8, and the major fund budgetary comparison, pension, and OPEB disclosure schedules on pages 36-41 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### -Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The combining schedules for the minor funds on pages 42-43 are supplementary information and are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

an Horder, Walker + to, elne.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 30, 2020 on our consideration of the City of Fort Wright, Kentucky's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City of Fort Wright, Kentucky's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Van Gorder, Walker, & Co., Inc.

Erlanger, Kentucky January 30, 2020

Our discussion and analysis of the City of Ft. Wright, Kentucky's financial performance provides an overview and analysis of the City's financial activities during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019. Please read this analysis in conjunction with the City's financial statements, which follow this section.

#### **FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS**

- The City's net position is \$8,955,270.
- During the year, the City's net position decreased (\$516,079).
- GASB No. 68 Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions requires the City to record its
  proportionate share of the County Employees' Retirement System (CERS) net pension liability.
  The City has recorded a net pension liability of \$6,114,247 as well as related deferred outflows
  and inflows of resources as a result of this standard.
- GASB No. 75 Accounting and Financial Reporting for Other Post-Employment Health Insurance Benefits (OPEB) - requires the City to record its proportionate share of the County Employees' Retirement System (CERS) net OPEB liability. The City has recorded a net pension liability of \$1,800,368 as well as related deferred outflows and inflows of resources as a result of this standard.

#### **USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT**

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the City as a whole and present a longer-term view of the City's finances. Fund financial statements follow these statements. For governmental activities, these statements tell how these services were financed in the short term, as well as what remains for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the City's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements by providing information about the City's most significant funds.

#### REPORTING THE CITY AS A WHOLE

One of the most important questions asked about the City's finances is, "Is the City as a whole better or worse off as a result of the year's activities?" The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities report information about the City as a whole and about its activities in a way that helps answer this question. These statements include all assets and liabilities using the *accrual basis of accounting*, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

These two statements report the City's net positions and changes in them. You can think of the City's net position, the difference between assets and liabilities, as one way to measure the City's *financial health*, or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the City's net positions are one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. However, to assess the *overall health* of the City, you will need to consider other non-financial factors, such as improvements in services and capabilities.

#### REPORTING THE CITY'S MOST SIGNIFICANT FUNDS

**Fund Financial Statements:** A fund is a group of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities. The City, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the City are categorized as governmental funds.

Governmental Funds: Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on current sources and uses of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year.

Because the focus of governmental funds is more than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The City maintains a multitude of individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the major funds.

#### **Notes to Financial Statements**

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the basic financial statements.

#### **GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**

As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the City of Ft. Wright, Kentucky, assets and deferred outflows exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows by \$8,955,270 as of June 30, 2019. A large portion of the City's net position reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g. land, buildings, infrastructure, vehicles and equipment); less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding as well as the net pension liability. The City uses these capital assets to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. The following is a comparison of net position at June 30, 2019 and 2018:

Net Position	Governmen	tal Ad	al Activities			
			(Restated)			
	2019		2018			
Current assets	\$ 9,239,661	\$	8,282,198			
Capital assets	 6,139,065		6,696,539			
Total assets	15,378,726		14,978,737			
Deferred outflows of resources	 2,547,542		3,088,638			
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	 17,926,268		18,067,375			
Current liabilities	213,540		163,627			
Long-term liabilities	7,996,769		7,798,689			
Total liabilities	8,210,309		7,962,316			
Deferred inflows of resources	760,689		605,928			
Total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources	8,970,998		8,568,244			
Net investment in capital assets	6,139,065		6,696,539			
Restricted-Municipal Road Aid	727,623		1,091,174			
Unrestricted	2,088,582		1,711,418			
Total Net Position	\$ 8,955,270	\$	9,499,131			

A portion of the City's net position represents resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. The remaining balance of unrestricted net position of \$2,088,582 may be used to meet the government's ongoing obligations to citizens and creditors.

At the end of the current fiscal year, the City is able to report positive balances in all three categories of net position.

The following is a comparison of the change in net position for 2019 and 2018:

#### **Changes in Net Position**

	Governmental Activities						
			(F	Restated)			
Revenues:		2019	2018				
Program revenues:		•					
Charges for services	\$	658,452	\$	622,619			
Operating grants		311,159		292,334			
Capital grants		18,418		233,260			
General revenues:							
Property taxes		1,874,561		1,875,505			
Payroll license fees		2,679,370		2,558,924			
Other licenses, fines		15,637		13,304			
Investment income		80,974		70,361			
Other		40,786		30,467			
Total revenues		5,679,357		5,696,774			
Expenses:							
General government		549,565		539,814			
Police		1,995,826		1,877,319			
Fire		1,614,660		1,464,669			
Public works		1,934,370		2,045,778			
Plant operations		107,765		159,293			
Parks and recreation		21,032		30,990			
Total expenses		6,223,218		6,117,863			
Change in net position		(543,861)		(421,089)			
Net position-Beginning		9,499,131		9,892,438			
Adjustment		-		27,782			
Net position-End of year	\$	8,955,270	\$	9,499,131			

The City's net position decreased by (\$543,861) during the current fiscal year, however this decrease includes both \$685,130 in non-cash depreciation expense and \$883,816 in non-cash actuarial pension expense.

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE CITY'S FUNDS

As noted earlier, the City uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Governmental Funds: The focus of the City's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the City's financing requirements. In particular, *unreserved* fund balance may serve as a useful measure of the City's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year. As of the end of the current

fiscal year, the City's governmental funds reported an *unassigned* balance of \$7,319,791. This is available for spending for our citizens.

#### **GENERAL FUND BUDGETING HIGHLIGHTS**

City Council passed an original budget for 2018-2019 and made no amendments to the budget. Actual revenues were \$18,607 more than the amount budgeted. Taxes were \$47,061 more than budget due to increased collections. License and permit revenues was \$331,870 more than budgeted because continuous payroll and gross receipts tax growth in the City.

Actual expenditures were \$1,417,783 less than budgeted amounts. The most significant variances were in transfers to other funds, which were \$723,000 less than budgeted; Public Works, which was \$474,046 less than budgeted; and Police which was \$152,453 less than budgeted. Fire and EMS were over budget by 31,006, and Administrative expenses were slightly more than budgeted at \$12,595 over budget.

#### CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets: The City's investment in capital assets for its governmental activities as of June 30, 2019, amounts to \$6,139,065. The following table details the capital assets owned by the City in 2019 and 2018 and the corresponding change in capital assets in 2019:

#### **Capital Assets**

<b>Governmental Activities</b>						
	2019		2018			
\$	1,523,000	\$	1,523,000			
	1,543,500		1,529,239			
	23,876,137		23,876,137			
	1,265,301		1,240,989			
	1,499,408		1,438,543			
	29,707,346		29,607,908			
	(23,568,281)		(22,911,369)			
\$	6,139,065	\$	6,696,539			
Net Capital Assets  \$ 6,139,065  This year's major additions and deletions included: Improvements to buildings Purchases of vehicles Purchases of equipment Net depreciation added Disposal of vehicles, equipment, and infrastructure Net change in Net Capital Assets						
	\$ ions in	2019 \$ 1,523,000 1,543,500 23,876,137 1,265,301 1,499,408 29,707,346 (23,568,281) \$ 6,139,065 ions included:	2019 \$ 1,523,000 \$ 1,543,500 23,876,137 1,265,301 1,499,408 29,707,346 (23,568,281) \$ 6,139,065 \$ \$ ions included:			

*Debt:* During the 2019 fiscal year, the City's only debt is the amount owed to employees for unused vacation time. The following table details the long-term debt owed by the City in 2019 and 2018 and the corresponding change in debt in 2019:

#### **Long Term Debt**

	 Governmental Activities						
	 2019		2018				
Compensated absences	\$ 82,154	\$	81,571				
Total Long Term Debt	\$ 82,154	\$	81,571				

The City's long-term debt, on the statement of net position, also includes a net unfunded pension and unfunded OPEB liabilities of \$6,114,247 and \$1,800,368, respectively.

#### **ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS AND RATES**

The City has experienced increasing revenues (up 5.2% over the previous year, with net effect of intergovernmental grants removed from each year) and slightly increasing operating costs (up 1.2% over the previous year). Personal property tax revenues, tangible taxes, payroll taxes and occupational license taxes have all increased slightly in the past year, and the City anticipates that trend to continue.

The expenditures for the General Fund include above average increases in insurance and retirement costs. These increases are driven by outside economic factors beyond the City's control. The City has responded to these increases in certain expenditures by reducing budgeted expenditures in other areas.

The budget for infrastructure projects includes street resurfacing projects and urban renewal/upgrade projects as the City continues to annually invest in these areas. The City has adequate contingency funds to address any non-catastrophic unforeseen conditions and events. The fiscal year 2019-2020 budget provides adequate resources for the continuation of services and programs at the present levels. Budgeted expenditures for 2018-2019 approximate projected revenues, and the City continues to monitor budget variances on an ongoing basis to respond appropriately.

#### **REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers and creditors with a general overview of the City's finances and to show the City's accountability for the money it receives. If you have any questions about this report or need additional financial information, please contact Jill Cain Bailey, City Administrator, City of Ft. Wright, Kentucky, 409 Kyles Lane, Ft. Wright, KY 41011.

#### CITY OF FORT WRIGHT, KENTUCKY STATEMENT OF NET POSITION June 30, 2019

	Primary Government Governmental Activities			
Assets		_		
Current Assets	Φ.	0.704.000		
Cash and Cash Equivalents Receivables:	\$	8,761,300		
Taxes		408,709		
Intergovernmental		18,459		
Accounts		51,193		
Total Current Assets		9,239,661		
Capital Assets, Net of Depreciation		6,139,065		
Total Assets		15,378,726		
Deferred Outflows of Resources				
Deferred Outflows Related to Net Pension and OPEB Liabilities		2,547,542		
Total Assets and Deferred Outflows of Resources		17,926,268		
Liabilities				
Current Liabilities				
Accounts Payable		65,729		
Payroll Related Liabilites		147,811		
Other Liabilities		- 242.540		
Total Current Liabilities		213,540		
Long Term Liabilites				
Compensated Absenses		82,154		
Net Pension Liability Net OPEB Liability		6,114,247 1,800,368		
Total Long Term Liabilities		7,996,769		
Total Liabilities		8,210,309		
Deferred Inflows of Resources		, ,		
Deferred inflows related to net pension and OPEB liabilities		760,689		
Total Liabilities and Deferred Inflows of Resources		8,970,998		
Net Position				
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt		6,139,065		
Restricted		727,623		
Unrestricted		2,088,582		
Total Net Position	\$	8,955,270		

#### CITY OF FORT WRIGHT, KENTUCKY STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

Functions/Programs: Primary Government Governmental Activities:	Expenses		Charges for Services (		Gr	perating ants and atributions	Gra	Capital ants and tributions	Ch	expense) Revenue and canges in Net Position Primary Government Governmental Activities
General Government	\$	549,565	\$	3,063	\$	22,720	\$	_	\$	(523,782)
Public Safety - Police	Ψ	1,995,826	Ψ	275	Ψ	77,912	Ψ	_	Ψ	(1,917,639)
Public Safety - Fire / EMS		1,614,660		315,368		34,101		-		(1,265,191)
Public Works		1,934,370		322,560		169,595		18,418		(1,423,797)
Plant Operations		107,765		-		-		-		(107,765)
Parks and Recreation		21,032		17,186		6,831		-		2,985
<b>Total Primary Government</b>	\$	6,223,218	\$	658,452	\$	311,159	\$	18,418		(5,235,189)
					Gene	eral Revenue	es:			
					Pr	roperty and C	Other Ta	xes		1,874,561
					Lie	censes and F	Permits			2,679,370
					Fi	nes and Forf	eitures			15,637
						ther				40,786
						vestment Inc				80,974
					-	Total Genera	l Reven	ues		4,691,328
					Chan	ge in Net Po	sition			(543,861)
			Net Position - Beginning						9,471,349	
					Prio	r Period Adju	stment			27,782
					Net P	osition - En	ding		\$	8,955,270

Net (Expense) Revenue and

#### CITY OF FORT WRIGHT, KENTUCKY BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS June 30, 2019

		General Fund	unicipal load Aid	Go	Other vernmental Funds	Go	Total vernmental Funds
Assets Cash and Cash Equivalents Receivables: Taxes	\$	7,108,163	\$ 716,472	\$	936,665	\$	8,761,300 408,709
Intergovernmental Accounts		5,047 7,139	 13,412 -		- 44,054		18,459 51,193
Total Assets	\$	7,529,058	\$ 729,884	\$	980,719	\$	9,239,661
Liabilities and Fund Balances							
Liabilities Accounts Payable Payroll Liabilites Escrow	\$	61,456 147,811 -	\$ 2,261 - -	\$	2,012 - -	\$	65,729 147,811 -
Total Liabilities		209,267	 2,261		2,012		213,540
Fund Balances Restricted Committed Assigned Unassigned		- - - 7,319,791	727,623 - - -		- 158,048 820,659 -		727,623 158,048 820,659 7,319,791
Total Fund Balances		7,319,791	 727,623		978,707		9,026,121
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$	7,529,058	\$ 729,884	\$	980,719	\$	9,239,661

# CITY OF FORT WRIGHT, KENTUCKY RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION June 30, 2019

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

are unreferre because.	
Total fund balance per balance sheet	\$ 9,026,121
Capital assets of \$29,707,346, less accumulated depreciation of (\$23,568,281), used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.	6,139,065
Deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions are applicable to future periods and, therefore, not reportable in the funds:	
Deferred outflows related to pension Deferred outflows related to post-employment health insurance (OPEB) Deferred inflows realted to pension Deferred inflows realted to post-employment health insurance (OPEB)	1,837,947 709,595 (382,460) (378,229)
Long-term liabilities, including net pension and OPEB liabilities and compensated absences are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported as liabilities in governmental funds.	
Net pension liability Net post-employment health insurance (OPEB) liability Compensated absences	 (6,114,247) (1,800,368) (82,154)
Net position of governmental activities	\$ 8,955,270

#### CITY OF FORT WRIGHT, KENTUCKY STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

Revenues	General Fund	nicipal Road Aid Fund	er Non-Major vernmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds		
Taxes	\$ 1,874,561	\$ -	\$ -	\$	1,874,561	
Licenses and Permits	2,679,370	-	-		2,679,370	
Intergovernmental	130,431	169,595	-		300,026	
Charges for Services	325,898	-	332,554		658,452	
Grants and Contributions	-	-	29,551		29,551	
Fines and Forfeitures	15,637	-	-		15,637	
Uses of Money and Property	65,684	8,806	6,484		80,974	
Other Revenue	65,712	-	1,832		67,544	
Total Revenues	5,157,293	178,401	370,421		5,706,115	
Expenditures		_	_			
General Government	521,934	-	-		521,934	
Pubic Safety - Police	1,465,691	-	12		1,465,703	
Public Safety - Fire / EMS	1,195,602	-	15,278		1,210,880	
Public Works	784,421	541,952	-		1,326,373	
Plant Operations	107,765	· -	-		107,765	
Parks and Recreation	-	_	21,032		21,032	
Capital Outlay	144,878	_	-		144,878	
Total Expenditures	4,220,291	541,952	36,322		4,798,565	
Excess (Deficit) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	937,002	(363,551)	334,099		907,550	
Other Financing Sources (Uses) Transfers In Transfers Out	- -	<u>-</u>	- -		<u>-</u>	
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)		 				
Change in Fund Balances	937,002	(363,551)	334,099		907,550	
Fund Balances - Beginning	6,355,007	1,091,174	644,608		8,090,789	
Prior Period Adjustment	 27,782	 	 		27,782	
Fund Balances - Ending	\$ 7,319,791	\$ 727,623	\$ 978,707	\$	9,026,121	

## RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

are different because:

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities

#### Net change in fund balance - total governmental funds

\$ 907,550

Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, for governmental activities those costs are shown in the Statement of Net Position and allocated over their estimated useful lives as annual depreciation expense in the statement of activities. This is the amount by which depreciation exceeds capital outlays for the period, net of gain or losses on dispoal:

Capital outlays	\$ 144,876
Depreciation expense	(685,130)
Net loss on disposal	 (26,758)

(567,012)

Governmental funds report pension and other post-employment health insurance (OPEB) contributions as expenditures, however, in the statement of activities, the cost of the pension and OPEB benefits earned, net of employer contributions is reported as pension and OPEB expense:

Costs of pension benefits earned	(732,940)
Costs of post-employment health insurance benefits (OPEB) earned	(150,876)

Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds, such as compensated absences.

(583)

#### Change in net position of governmental activities

\$ (543,861)

#### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The basic financial statements of the City of Ft. Wright, Kentucky, have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The significant accounting policies of the City are described below.

REPORTING ENTITY – The City of Ft. Wright, Kentucky is a municipality governed by a mayor and six-member council. As defined by GAAP, and established by GASB, the financial reporting entity consists of the primary government (The City of Ft. Wright, Kentucky as legally defined). Potential component units were considered for inclusion in the financial reporting entity. Component units are separate organizations for which the elected officials of the primary government would be financially accountable. Financial accountability is defined as appointment of a voting majority of the component unit's board, and either a) the ability to impose its will is held by the primary government, or b) the possibility that the component unit will provide a financial benefit to or impose a financial burden on the primary government. A component unit which is fiscally dependent upon the primary government even when the primary government does not have a voting majority of the component unit's board is also to be included in the statements of the primary government.

The City does not have any component units to be included in its financial report.

#### BASIS OF PRESENTATION

#### Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the primary government. For the most part, the effect of inter-fund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities, which are normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent, on fees and charges for services. The City has no business-type activities.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which direct expenses of a given function or segments are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment, and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues. Internal activity is eliminated in the statement of activities.

Amounts paid to acquire capital assets are capitalized as assets in the government-wide financial statements, rather than reported as an expenditure. Proceeds of long-term debt are recorded as a liability in the government-wide financial statements, rather than as another financing source. Amounts paid to reduce long-term indebtedness of the reporting government are reported as a reduction of the related liability rather than as an expenditure.

#### Fund Financial Statements

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds. The focus of fund financial statements is on major funds rather than reporting funds by type. Each major fund is presented in a separate column.

The City uses funds to report on its financial position and the result of its operations. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain functions or activities. A fund is defined as a fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts recording cash and other financial resources, together with all related liabilities and residual equities or balances, and changes therein, which are segregated for the purpose of carrying on specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions or limitations.

<u>Governmental funds</u> are those through which most governmental functions typically are financed. The acquisition, use, and balances of the City's expendable financial resources and the related current liabilities are accounted for through governmental funds. The City's major governmental funds are as follows:

General Fund – The General Fund is the government's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except for those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Special Revenue Funds – Special Revenue Funds are used to account for and report the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted or committed to expenditures for specific purposes other than debt service or capital projects. The Special Revenue Funds include:

- Municipal Road Aid Fund to account for revenues and related expenses provided by the Commonwealth of Kentucky's Transportation Cabinet through the Department for Local Government.
- Fire and EMS Fund to account for City fire and EMS capital projects.
- Asset Forfeiture Fund to account for funds seized by the Police Department
- Parks and Recreation Fund to account for City park expenditures and projects.
- Dixie/Kyles TIF Fund to account for the revenues and related expenses for the City's Tax Increment Financing District on Dixie Highway and Kyles Lane.

BASIS OF ACCOUNTING – Basis of accounting refers to the point at which revenues or expenditures/expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. It relates to the timing of the measurements made regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Accrual – The governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements are presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred.

Modified Accrual – The governmental funds financial statements are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or within 60 days after year end. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred. The exception to this general rule is that principal and interest on general obligation long-term debt, if any, is recognized when due.

Property taxes, license fees, interest, and other revenues associated with current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the government. No allowance for doubtful accounts is required for any receivables as of June 30, 2019.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS – Cash and cash equivalents include amounts in demand deposits as well as short-term investments with an initial maturity date within three months of the date acquired by the City.

The City is authorized by state statute to invest in:

- Obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities
- Certificates of deposits
- Bankers acceptances
- Commercial paper
- · Bonds of other state or local governments
- Mutual funds

PROPERTY TAXES AND TAX CALENDAR – Property taxes are levied as of January 1 on property values assessed as of the same date. The taxes are billed in September and are due and payable in November. The due date is set each year by council, by ordinance. After the due date, the bill becomes delinquent and penalties and interest may be assessed by the City and a lien may be placed on the property. Property tax rates for the year ended June 30, 2019, were \$0.279 per \$100 valuation for real property and \$.409 per \$100 valuation for personal property. The assessed value of property on which the levy for 2018 was based was \$528,240,100 for real property.

PREPAIDS – Payments made to vendors for services that will benefit periods reported as prepaid items under the purchases method.

INTERFUND ACTIVITIY AND ADVANCE RECEIVABLE – Reciprocal interfund activity includes interfund loans – amounts provided with a requirement for repayment, reported as interfund receivables and payables in the respective funds and interfund services provided and used – sales and purchases of goods and services between funds for a price approximating their external exchange value, recorded as revenues and expenses in the respective funds. Unpaid amounts would be reported as receivables and payables in the respective funds. Nonreciprocal interfund activity includes interfund transfers – flows of assets without equivalent flows of assets in return, and interfund reimbursements – repayments by the responsible fund.

SHORT-TERM INTER-FUND RECEIVABLE/PAYABLES – During the course of operation, numerous transactions occur between individual funds for goods provided or services rendered. These receivables and payables are classified as "due from/to other funds" on the balance sheet. Short-term inter-fund loans are classified as "inter-fund receivables/payables".

CAPITAL ASSETS – General capital assets are those assets not specifically related to activities in the proprietary fund. These assets are reported in the governmental activities column of the government-wide statement of net position.

The accounting and reporting treatment applied to capital assets associated with a fund are determined by its measurement focus. General capital assets are long-lived assets of the City as a whole. When

purchased, such assets are recorded as expenditures in the governmental funds and capitalized in the government-wide financial statements. Generally, capitalizable items with a cost of \$5,000 or more and two years of useful life are capitalized. Infrastructure such as streets, traffic signals and signs are capitalized. The valuation basis for general capital assets are historical costs, or where historical cost is not available, estimated historical cost based on replacement cost. Prior to July 1, 2004, governmental funds infrastructure assets had not previously been capitalized. The City has opted to not retroactively report its major general infrastructure assets. Infrastructure assets (starting July 1, 2004) have been valued at cost.

Capital assets used in operations are depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method in the government-wide financial statements. Depreciation is charged as an expense against operations and accumulated depreciation is reported on the respective balance sheet. The range of lives used for depreciation purposes for each capital asset class is as follows:

Buildings	40	years
Public Doman Infrastructure	40	years
Improvements	15	years
Vehicles	5	years
Machinery and Equipment	7	years
Furniture and Fixtures	7	years

COMPENSTATED ABSENCES – The City reports compensated absences in accordance with GASB Statement No. 16, *Accounting for Compensated Absences*. It is the government's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation-pay benefits. There is no liability for unpaid accumulated sick leave since the government does not have a policy to pay any amounts when employees separate from service with the government. All vacation pay is accrued when incurred in the government-wide financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in the government funds only if they have matured, for example, as a result of employee resignations and retirements. The general fund has typically been used to liquidate compensated absences as they become due and payable.

LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS – The accounting treatment of long-term debt depends on whether the assets are used in governmental fund operations or proprietary fund operations and whether they are reported in the government-wide or fund financial statements.

In the government-wide financial statements, and the proprietary fund types in the fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, or proprietary fund type statement of net position.

Long-term debt for governmental funds is not reported as a liability in the fund financial statements. The debt proceeds are reported as other financing sources and payments of principle and interest as expenditures. The accounting for long-term debt of the proprietary fund is the same in the fund statements as it is in the government-wide statements.

FUND EQUITY – In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report aggregate amounts for five classifications of fund balances based on the constraints imposed on the use of these resources.

Non-spendable fund balance – This classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form – long-term receivables and prepaid items; or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

The spendable portion of the fund balance comprises the remaining four classifications: restricted, committed, assigned and unassigned.

Restricted fund balance – This classification reflects the constraints imposed on resources either (a) externally by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The Municipal Road Aid Fund has a restricted fund balance

Committed fund balance – These amounts can only be used for specific purposes to constraints imposed by formal ordinances of the City Council (the government's highest level of decision-making authority). Those committed amounts cannot be used for any other purposes unless the City Council removes the specified use by taking the same type of action imposing the commitment. This classification also includes contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed for use in satisfying those contractual requirements. The Madison Pike TIF and the Dixie Highway / Kyles Lane TIF Special Revenue Funds have committed fund balances.

Assigned fund balance – This classification reflects the amounts constrained by the City's "intent" to be used for specific purposes but are neither restricted nor committed. The City Council and City Administrator have the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes. Assigned fund balances include all remaining amounts (except negative balances) that are reported in governmental funds, other than the General Fund, that are not classified as non-spendable and are neither restricted nor committed. The Parks and Recreation, Fire and EMS, and the Asset Forfeiture Funds have assigned fund balances.

*Unassigned fund balance* – This fund balance is the residual classification for the General Fund. It is also used to report negative fund balances in other governmental funds. The City Council has no General Fund minimum fund balance target as of June 30, 2019. No other fund balance policies exist.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use externally restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources – committed, assigned and unassigned – in order as needed. The City has no outstanding encumbrances as of June 30, 2019.

ESTIMATES AND UNCERTAINTIES – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### NOTE B - STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

The City follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

- A. In accordance with City ordinance, by June 1<sup>st</sup>, the Mayor submits to the City Council, a proposed operating budget for the fiscal year commencing the following July 1. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them for the upcoming year.
- B. A public meeting is conducted to obtain citizen comment.
- C. Prior to June 30, the budget is legally enacted through passage of an ordinance.
- D. The Mayor is required by Kentucky Revised Statutes to present a quarterly report to the City Council explaining any variance from the approved budget.
- E. Appropriations continue in effect until a new budget is adopted.
- F. The Council authorizes supplemental appropriations during the year.

Expenditures may not legally exceed budgeted appropriations at the department level. Any revisions to the budget that would alter total revenues and expenditures of any fund must be approved by the Council; however, with proper approval by the Council, budgetary transfers between departments can be made. The Council adopted two supplementary appropriation ordinances. All appropriations lapse at fiscal yearend. Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with GAAP.

Deficit net position – No funds of the City ended the fiscal year in a deficit net position.

#### NOTE C - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits – The City's deposits at June 30, 2019, were partially secured by Federal Depository Insurance. Deposits in excess of the Federal Depository Insurance limit are to be collateralized with securities held by the bank, its trust department or by its agent, but not in the City's name. The carrying amount of the City's deposits with financial institutions at June 30, 2019 was \$8,761,300. Of the total bank balance, \$250,000 was insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation. The remainder is collateralized with securities held by the financial institution and pledged to collateralize the City's deposits.

Kentucky Revised Statutes authorize cities to invest in obligations of the United States and its agencies, obligations of the Commonwealth of Kentucky and its agencies, shares in savings and loan associations insured by federal agencies, deposits in national or state charter banks insured by federal agencies, repurchase agreements, and larger amounts in such institutions providing such banks pledge as security obligations of the United States government or its agencies.

Interest rate risk – In accordance with the City's investment policy, interest rate risk is minimized by investing in public funds with the highest rate of return with the maximum security of principal. Investments are undertaken in a manner that seeks to ensure preservation of capital in the portfolio.

#### NOTE D - CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEPRECIATION

Capital assets activity for governmental activities for the year ended June 30, 2019 is as follows:

	June 30, 2018	Additions	Retirements	June 30, 2019		
Governmental activities:						
Assets not being depreciated						
Land	\$ 1,523,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,523,000		
	1,523,000			1,523,000		
Other capital assets						
Buildings	1,529,239	14,261	-	1,543,500		
Infrastructure	23,876,137	-	-	23,876,137		
Vehicles	1,438,543	106,303	(45,438)	1,499,408		
Equipment	1,240,989	24,312		1,265,301		
Subtotal	28,084,908	144,876	(45,438)	28,184,346		
Accumulated depreciation						
Buildings	(1,060,126)	(44,878)	-	(1,105,004)		
Infrastructure	(19,476,168)	(571,489)	-	(20,047,657)		
Vehicles	(1,235,576)	(21,951)	28,218	(1,229,309)		
Equipment	(1,139,499)	(46,812)		(1,186,311)		
Subtotal	(22,911,369)	(685,130)	28,218	(23,568,281)		
Other capital assets, less depreciation	5,173,539	(540,254)	(17,220)	4,616,065		
Capital assets, net	\$ 6,696,539	\$ (540,254)	\$ (17,220)	\$ 6,139,065		

Depreciation was charged to the following governmental activities:

Activity	Depreciation		
Administration	\$	12,091	
Police		38,918	
Fire / EMS		36,942	
Infrastructure - Public Works		571,488	
Public Works		25,691	
Current year governmental activity depreciation expense	\$	685,130	

#### **NOTE E – RISK MANAGEMENT**

The City is exposed to various risks of losses related to torts: theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees, and natural disasters. The City has obtained insurance coverage through a commercial insurance company. In addition, the City has effectively managed risk through various employee education and prevention programs through the efforts and cooperation of its risk manager and department heads. All general liability risk management activities are accounts for in the General Fund. Expenditures and claims are recognized when probable that a loss has occurred, and the amount of loss can be reasonable estimated.

#### NOTE F - INTERFUND RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES

There were no Interfund transfers for the year ended June 30, 2019:

	Transfers In		Transfers Out		
General Fund	\$	_	\$	-	
Municipal Road Aid Fund		-		-	
Fire / EMS		-		-	
Park Fund		_		-	
Dixie Kyles TIF		-		-	
	\$	-	\$	-	

Transfers are used to move funds from the fund that statute or budget requires such funds to be received into the fund that statue or budget requires such funds to be disbursed from. Transfers are also used to move unrestricted funds collected in the General Fund to finance various programs accounted for in other funds in accordance with budgetary authorizations; to segregate money for anticipated capital projects; to provide additional resources for current operations or debt service; and to return money to fund from which it was originally provided once a project is completed.

#### NOTE G - GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE CLASSIFICATIONS

Governmental fund balances as of June 30, 2019, consist of the following:

	General Fund		Municipal Road Aid Fund		•		Minor Funds	Total
Restricted	\$	-	\$	727,623	\$ -	\$ 727,623		
Committed		-		-	158,048	158,048		
Assigned		-		-	820,659	820,659		
Unassigned		7,319,791		-	-	 7,319,791		
Total Fund Balance	\$	7,319,791	\$	727,623	\$ 978,707	\$ 9,026,121		

#### NOTE H - COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

The City's eligible employees are covered by the County Employees Retirement System (Plan)

#### General information about the County Employees Retirement System ("CERS")

Plan description - Employees are covered by CERS, a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Kentucky Retirement System, an agency of the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Under the provisions of the Kentucky Revised Statue ("KRS") Section 61.645, the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement administers CERS and has the authority to establish and amend benefit provisions. The Kentucky Retirement System issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for CERS. That report may be obtained from <a href="http://kyret.ky.gov/">http://kyret.ky.gov/</a>.

The Plan is divided into both a **Pension Plan** and **Health Insurance Fund Plan** (Other Post-Employment Benefits; OPEB) and each plan is further sub-divided based on **Non-Hazardous** duty and **Hazardous** duty covered-employee classifications.

Membership in CERS consisted of the following at June 30, 2017:

	Non-hazardous	Hazardous	Total
Inactive memberships	144,044	12,196	156,240
Active Plan Members	82,198	9,495	91,693
	226,242	21,691	247,933
	Number of partic	cipating employers	1,110

#### PENSION PLAN

#### **Non-Hazardous Pension Plan Description**

Benefits Provided – CERS provides retirement, health insurance, death and disability benefits to Non-Hazardous duty Plan employees and beneficiaries. Employees are vested in the plan after five years of service. For retirement purposes, employees are grouped into three tiers, based on hire date:

Tier 1	Participation date	Before September 1, 2008
	Unreduced retirement	27 years service or 65 years old
	Reduced retirement	At least 5 years service and 55 years old
		At least 25 years service and any age
Tier 2	Participation rate	September 1, 2008 - December 31,2013
	Unreduced retirement	At least 5 years service and 65 years old
		or age 57+ and sum of service years plus age equal 87
	Reduced retirement	At least 10 years service and 60 years old
Tier 3	Participation date	After December 31, 2013
	Unreduced retirement	At least 5 years service and 65 years old
		or age 57+ and sum of service years plus age equal 87
	Reduced retirement	Not available

Cost of living adjustments are provided at the discretion of the General Assembly. Retirement is based on a factor of the number of years of service and hire date multiplied by the average of the highest five years' earnings. Reduced benefits are based on factors of both of these components. Participating employees become eligible to receive the health insurance benefit after at least 180 months or service. Death benefits are provided for both death after retirement and death prior to retirement. Death benefits after retirement are \$5,000 in lump sum. Five years' service is required for death benefits prior to retirement and the employee must have suffered a duty-related death. The decedent's beneficiary will receive the higher of the normal death benefit and \$10,000 plus 25% of the decedent's monthly final rate or pay and any dependent child will receive 10% of the decedent's monthly final rate of pay up to 40% for all dependent children. Five years' service is required for nonservice-related disability benefits.

Contributions – Required pension plan contributions by the employee are based on the tier:

	Required Contribution
Tier 1	5%
Tier 2	5%
Tier 3	5%

#### **Hazardous Pension Plan Description**

Benefits Provided – CERS provides retirement, health insurance, death and disability benefits to Hazardous duty Plan employees and beneficiaries. Employees are vested in the plan after five years of service. For retirement purposes, employees are grouped into three tiers, based on hire date:

Tier 1	Participation date	Before September 1, 2008
	Unreduced retirement	At least one month of hazardous duty service credit or 55 years old
	Reduced retirement	15 years service and 50 years old or any age with 20 years service
Tier 2	Participation date	September 1, 2008 - December 31,2013
	Unreduced retirement	At least 5 years of hazardous duty service credit and 60 years old
	Reduced retirement	15 years service and 50 years old or any age with 25 years service
Tier 3	Participation date	On or after January 1, 2014
	Unreduced retirement	At least 5 years of hazardous duty service credit and 60 years old or 25 or more years of service, with no age requirement
	Reduced retirement	Not available

Cost of living adjustments are provided at the discretion of the General Assembly. Retirement is based on a factor of the number of years of service and hire date multiplied by the average of the highest five years' earnings. Reduced benefits are based on factors of both of these components. Participating employees become eligible to receive the health insurance benefit after at least 180 months or service. Death benefits are provided for both death after retirement and death prior to retirement. Death benefits after retirement are \$5,000 in lump sum. Five years' service is required for death benefits prior to retirement and the employee must have suffered a duty-related death. The decedent's beneficiary will receive the higher of the normal death benefit and \$10,000 plus 25% of the decedent's monthly final rate

or pay and any dependent child will receive 10% of the decedent's monthly final rate of pay up to 40% for all dependent children. Five years' service is required for nonservice-related disability benefits.

Contributions – Required pension plan contributions by the employee are based on the tier:

	Required Contribution
Tier 1	8%
Tier 2	8%
Tier 3	8%

#### **Contributions**

For non-hazardous duty employees, the City contributed 21.48%, of which 16.22% was for the pension fund and 5.26% was for the health insurance fund, of the non-hazardous duty covered-employee's compensation during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019. For hazardous duty employees, the City contributed 35.34%, of which 24.86% was for the pension fund and 10.47% was for the health insurance fund, of the hazardous duty covered-employee's compensation during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019.

The City made all required contributions for the non-hazardous Plan pension obligation for the fiscal year in the amount of \$93,353, of which \$70,493 was for the pension fund and \$22,860 was for the health insurance fund. The City also made all required contributions for the hazardous Plan pension obligation for the fiscal year in the amount of \$508,371 of which \$357,716 was for the pension fund and \$150,655 was for the health insurance fund.

## Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, Deferred Outflows of Resources, and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2019, the City reported a liability of \$6,114,247 (\$672,187 – non-hazardous and \$5,442,060 – hazardous) as its proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2018, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The City's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the City's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating entities, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2018, the City's non-hazardous employer allocation proportion was 0.01104% of the total CERS non-hazardous duty employees and 0.2250% of the total CERS hazardous duty employees. For the year ended June 30, 2019, the City recognized actuarial pension expense of \$732,940 in addition to its \$428,209 pension contribution.

At June 30, 2019, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	 Non-Ha	zar	dous	Hazardous			Tota										
	Deferred		Deferred		Deferred	Deferred		Deferred		Deferred		Deferred			Deferred		eferred
	Outflow		Inflow		Outflow	In	flow	Outflow		Inflow							
Difference from expected and actual experience	\$ 21,924	\$	(9,839)	\$	433,096	\$	-	\$	455,020	\$	(9,839)						
Net difference between projected actual earnings																	
on plan investments	31,257		(39,317)		201,712	(26	3,095)		232,969	(	302,412)						
Changes of assumptions	65,692		-		579,289		-		644,981		-						
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of			(								( <u>-</u> )						
contributions	13,151		(49,088)		63,617	(2	21,121)		76,768		(70,209)						
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date	 70,493				357,716				428,209								
	\$ 202,517	\$	(98,244)	\$ ^	1,635,430	\$ (28	34,216)	\$	1,837,947	\$ (	382,460)						

The City's contributions subsequent to the measurement date of \$428,209 will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ending June 30, 2020. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending		Net			
June 30,		Deferral			
2019	\$	729,095			
2020		354,379			
2021	(28,013				
2022	(28,183				
	\$	1,027,278			

#### **Actuarial Assumptions**

The total pension liability in the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Valuation date	June 30, 2016
Experience study	July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2013
Actuarial cost method	Entry Age Normal
Amortization method	Level percentage of payroll, closed
Remaining amortization period	27 years
Asset valuation method	5-year smoothed market
Payroll growth	2.00%
Inflation	2.30%
Salary increase	3.05%, average, including inflation
Investment rate of return	6.25%, net of pension plan expense, including inflation

The mortality table used for active members is RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (multiplied by 50% for males and 30% for females). For healthy retired members and beneficiaries, the mortality table used is the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (1-year set-back for females). For disabled members, the RP-2000 Combined Disabled Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 4 years for males) is used for the period after disability retirement. There is some margin in the current mortality tables for possible future improvement in mortality rates and that margin will be reviewed again when the next experience investigation is conducted.

The long-term expected return on plan assets is reviewed as part of the regular experience studies prepared every five years for CERS. The most recent analysis, performed for the period covering fiscal years 2008 through 2013, is outlined in a report dated April 30, 2014. Several factors are considered in evaluating the long-term rate of return assumption including long term historical data, estimates inherent in current market data, and a log- normal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected return, net of investment expense, and inflation) were developed by the investment consultant for each major asset class. These ranges were combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and then adding expected inflation. The capital market assumptions developed by the investment consultant are intended for use over a 10-year horizon and may not be useful in setting the long-term rate of return for funding pension plans which covers a longer timeframe. The assumption is intended to be a long-term assumption and is not expected to change absent a significant change in the asset allocation, a change in the inflation assumption, or a fundamental change in the market that alters expected returns in future years.

#### **Changes of Assumptions**

There have been no changes in actuarial assumptions since June 2017. In June 2017, the demographic and economic assumptions that affect the measurement of the total pension liability were updated as follows:

- The assumed investment rate was decreased from 7.5% to 6.25%.
- The assumed rate of inflation was reduced from 3.25% to 2.30%.
- The assumed rate of wage inflation was reduced from 4.00% to 3.05%.
- Payroll growth assumption was reduced from 4.00% to 2.00%.
- The mortality table used for active members is RP-2000 Combined mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (multiplied by 50% for males and 30% for females).
- For healthy retired members and beneficiaries, the mortality table used is the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set-back 1 year for females). For disabled members, the RP-2000 Combined Disabled Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 4 years for males) is used for the period after disability retirement. There is some margin in the current mortality tables for possible future improvement in mortality rates and that margin will be reviewed again when the next experience investigation is conducted.
- The assumed rates of retirement, withdrawal and disability were updated to more accurately reflect experience.

The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

	CERS Hazardous	
	& Non-Hazardous	Long Term
	Target	Expected
Asset Class	Allocation	Nominal Return
Combined equity	35%	5.79%
Combined fixed income	24%	6.71%
Real return (diversified		
inflation strategies)	10%	7.00%
Absolute return (diversified		
hedge funds)	10%	5.00%
Private equity	10%	6.50%
Real estate	5%	9.00%
Global bonds	4%	3.00%
Cash	2%	1.50%

#### **Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 6.25%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members and employers will be made at statutory contribution rates. Projected inflows from investment earnings were calculated using the long-term assumed investment return of 6.25%. The long-term assumed investment rate of return was applied to all periods of projected of benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

## Sensitivity of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 6.25 percent, as well as what the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (5.25 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (7.25 percent) than the current rate:

	Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability							
·	1%	Decrease	1% Increase					
		5.25%		6.25%	7.25%			
Non-hazardous	\$	846,214	\$	672,187	\$	526,383		
Hazardous		6,818,545		5,442,060		4,304,138		
Total	\$	7,664,759	\$	6,114,247	\$	4,830,521		

#### <u>HEALTH INSURANCE – OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS</u>

#### **Non-Hazardous OPEB Plan Description**

Benefits Provided – CERS provides retirement, health insurance, death and disability benefits to non-hazardous duty plan employees and beneficiaries. Health insurance coverage is provided through payment/partial payment of insurance premiums for both non-Medicare-eligible and Medicare-eligible retirees.

Tier 1 Participation date Before July 1, 2003

Benefit eligibility Recipient of a retirement allowance

Percentage of member < 4 years service - 0% premium paid by the plan 4-9 years service - 25%

10-14 years service - 50% 15-19 years service - 75% 20 or more years service - 100%

Tier 2 Participation date July 1, 2003 - August 31, 2008

Benefit eligibility Recipient of a retirement allowance with at least 120

months of service at retirement

Member premium paid

by the plan

\$10/month for each year of earned service with a 1.5% increase each July 1. As of July 1, 2016, the contribution

was \$12.99 per month.

Tier 3 Participation date On or after September 1, 2008

Benefit eligibility Recipient of a retirement allowance with at least 180

months of service at retirement

Member premium paid

by the plan

\$10/month for each year of earned service with a 1.5% increase each July 1. As of July 1, 2016, the contribution

was \$12.99 per month.

Contributions – Required health insurance plan contributions by the employee are based on the tier:

	Required Contribution
Tier 1	None
Tier 2	1%
Tier 3	1%

#### **Hazardous OPEB Plan Description**

Benefits Provided – CERS provides retirement, health insurance, death and disability benefits to hazardous duty plan employees and beneficiaries. Health insurance coverage is provided through payment/partial payment of insurance premiums for both non-Medicare-eligible and Medicare-eligible retirees.

## CITY OF FT. WRIGHT, KENTUCKY NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Year Ended June 30, 2019

Tier 1 Participation date Before July 1, 2003

Benefit eligibility Recipient of a retirement allowance

Percentage of member < 4 years service - 0% premium paid by the plan 4-9 years service - 25%

10-14 years service - 50% 15-19 years service - 75% 20 or more years service - 100%

Tier 2 Participation date July 1, 2003 - August 31, 2008

Benefit eligibility Recipient of a retirement allowance with at least 120

months of service at retirement

Member premium paid \$15/month for each year of earned service with a 1.5%

by the plan increase each July 1. As of July 1, 2016, the contribution

was \$19.48 per month.

Tier 3 Participation date On or after September 1, 2008

Benefit eligibility Recipient of a retirement allowance with at least 180

months of service at retirement

Member premium paid

by the plan increase each July 1. As of July

increase each July 1. As of July 1, 2016, the contribution

\$10/month for each year of earned service with a 1.5%

was \$19.48 per month.

Contributions – Required health insurance plan contributions by the employee are based on the tier:

	Required Contribution
Tier 1	None
Tier 2	1%
Tier 3	1%

#### **Contributions**

Contribution requirements for covered employees and participating governmental entities are established and may be amended by the KRS Trustees. The contractually required contribution rate for governmental entities for the year ended June 30, 2019, was 5.26% of covered-employee payroll for non-hazardous duty employees and 10.47% of covered-employee payroll for hazardous duty employees, actuarially determined as an amount that is expected to finance the cost of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. Contributions to the OPEB plan from the City were \$22,860 for non-hazardous duty employees and \$150,655 for hazardous duty employees for the year ended June 30, 2019.

## OPEB Liabilities, OPEB Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

At June 30, 2019, the City reported a liability of \$1,800,368 for its proportionate share of the net OPEB liability. The net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2018, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The City's proportion of the net OPEB liability was based on a projection of the City's long-term share of contributions to the OPEB plan relative to the projected contributions of all governmental entities, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2018, the City's non-hazardous employer allocation proportion for

OPEB was 0.01104% of the total CERS non-hazardous duty employees and 0.2250% of the total CERS hazardous duty employees. For the year ended June 30, 2019, the City recognized an OPEB actuarial expense of \$150,876 in addition to its \$173,515 OPEB contribution.

In addition, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

		Non-Haz	zardous	Hazardous			Total			
	D	eferred	Deferred	Deferred Deferred		Deferred	Deferred			
	(	Dutflow	Inflow	Outflow Inflow		Outflow	Inflow			
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	-	\$ (22,837)	\$	-	\$(179,298)	\$ -	\$(202,135)		
Net difference between projected actual earnings on plan investments		-	(13,498)		-	(152,528)	-	(166,026)		
Changes of assumptions		39,136	(453)		492,349	(4,389)	531,485	(4,842)		
Changes in proportion and differences between contributions and proportionate share of contributions		4,595	(403)		<u>-</u>	(4,822)	4,595	(5,225)		
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date		22,860	<u>-</u>		150,655	-	173,515	-		
	\$	66,591	\$ (37,191)	\$	643,004	\$(341,037)	\$ 709,595	\$(378,228)		

The City's contributions subsequent to the measurement date, \$22,860 for non-hazardous duty employees and \$150,655 for hazardous duty employees will be recognized as a reduction of the net OPEB liability in the year ending June 30, 2020. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending		Net
June 30,		Deferral
2019	\$	96,402
2020		96,402
2021		7,848
2022		(40,838)
2023		(932)
Thereafter		(1,030)
	\$	157,852

#### **Actuarial Assumptions**

The total OPEB liability in the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement, unless otherwise specified:

Actuarial cost method: Entry Age Normal

Asset valuation method: 20% of the difference between the market value of assets and the

expected actuarial value of assets is recognized

Amortization method: Level, percent of pay Amortization period: 25 years, closed

Payroll growth rate: 2.00% Investment return: 6.25% Inflation 2.30%

Salary increases: 3.05%, average

Mortality: RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table, projected to 2013 with Scale BB

(set-back 1 year for females)

Healthcare trend rates

(Pre-65): Initial trend starting at 7.00% and gradually decreasing to

an ultimate trend rate of 4.05% over a period of 12 years.

Healthcare trend rates

(Post-65): Initial trend starting at 5.00% and gradually decreasing to

an ultimate trend rate of 4.05% over a period of 10 years.

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2018 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study calculated as of June 30, 2015.

The long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are as follows:

The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

	CERS Health Insurance	Long Term
	Target	Expected
Asset Class	Allocation	Nominal Return
Combined equity	35%	5.79%
Combined fixed income	24%	6.71%
Real return (diversified		
inflation strategies)	10%	7.00%
Absolute return (diversified		
hedge funds)	10%	5.00%
Private equity	10%	6.50%
Real estate	5%	9.00%
Global bonds	4%	3.00%
Cash	2%	1.50%

#### **Changes of Assumptions**

In 2017, the demographic and economic assumptions that affect the measurement of the total OPEB liability were updated as follows:

- The assumed investment return was decreased from 7.50% to 6.25%.
- The assumed rate of inflation was reduced from 3.25% to 2.30%.
- The assumed rate of salary increase was reduced from 4.00% to 3.05%.
- Payroll growth assumption was reduced from 4.00% to 2.00%.
- The mortality table used for active members is RP-2000 Combined mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (multiplied by 50% for males and 30% for females).

In 2018, the following changes were made to the discount rates:

- For the non-hazardous plan, the single discount rate changed from 5.84% to 5.85%
- For the hazardous plan, the single discount rate changed from 5.96 to 5.97%

#### **Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability was 5.85% for the non-hazardous plan and 5.97% for the hazardous plan. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from governmental entities will be made at contractually required rates, actuarially determined. Based on this assumption, the Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected OPEB payments for current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total OPEB liability.

## Sensitivity of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the proportionate share of the net OPEB liability calculated using the discount rates of 5.84% for the non-hazardous plan and 5.96% for the hazardous plan, as well as what the proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Proportionate Share of Net OPEB Liability							
	1.00	0% Decrease	Cı	urrent Rate	1.0	0% Increase		
Discount rate, non-hazardous		4.84%		5.84%	6.85%			
Net OPEB liability, non-hazardous	\$	254,520	\$	195,960	\$	146,077		
Discount rate, hazardous	4.96%			5.96%	6.96%			
Net OPEB liability, hazardous		2,230,206		1,604,408		1,103,440		
Total	\$	2,484,726	\$	1,800,368	\$	1,249,517		

### Sensitivity of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Healthcare Cost Trend Rates

The following presents the proportionate share of the net OPEB liability, as well as what the proportionate share of the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current healthcare cost trend rates:

	Proportionate Share of Net OPEB Liability							
Healthcare cost trend rate	1.00	1.00% Decrease		urrent Rate	1.00% Increase			
Net OPEB liability, non-hazardous	\$	145,894	\$	195,960	\$	254,973		
Net OPEB liability, hazardous		1,092,679		1,604,408		2,238,206		
Total	\$	1,238,573	\$	1,800,368	\$	2,493,179		

#### **Plan Fiduciary Net Position**

Both the Pension Plan and the Health Insurance Plan issue publicly available financial report that include financial statements and required supplementary information, and detailed information about each Plan's fiduciary net position. These reports may be obtained, in writing, from the County Employee Retirement System, 1260 Louisville Road, Perimeter Park West, Frankfort, Kentucky, 40601.

#### **NOTE I – CONTIGENT LIABILITIES**

Amounts received or receivable from grantor agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies, principally the federal or state governments. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the applicable funds. The amounts, if any, of expenditures which may be disallowed by the grantor cannot be determined at this time although the City expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial. The City is not a defendant in any known litigation.

#### **NOTE J - TAX ABATEMENTS**

In accordance with GASB 77, the must disclose tax abatements and incentives provided within the City. The City has two Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Districts on which tax abatements are provided to the developer to fund the cost to develop infrastructure in the District.

<u>Dixie Kyles Lane TIF District</u> – The local participation TIF district for Dixie Highway Kyles Lane created in 2013 and required the City to collect and hold 80% of the real property taxes collected in the district footprint for the city in a given year. This incentive allowed the City to finance the infrastructure expenses associated with the project. The incentive is in place for 30 years. \$158,048 has been withheld and remains in the project fund for future expenses of the project.

<u>Von Lehman CPA & Advisory Firm</u> – In 2016, to facilitate the move of Von Lehman CPA & Advisory Firm to Ft. Wright, the City agreed to abate 50% of occupational license tax for 5 years and 25% for the next 5 years. This abatement totaled \$42,607 in 2019 and will remain in effect until 2026.

#### NOTE K - CONDUIT DEBT OBLIGATION / CAPITAL LEASE (LESSOR) AGREEMENT

In December 2008, the City entered into an agreement with a developer to issue City of Fort Wright Industrial Revenue Bonds, with a maturity date of December 1, 2028, in the amount of \$4,000,000 to provide financial assistance to a private-sector developer for the construction of an office complex development within the City. The bonds are secured by the properties financed and are payable solely from the developer. Neither the City nor any political subdivision thereof, is obligated in any manner for repayment of the bonds. Accordingly, the bonds are not reported as a liability in the accompanying financial statements. As of June 30, 2019, there was one Industrial Building Revenue Bond outstanding with principal amounts totaling \$2,216,525

This agreement also assigned the City as the owner of the property who will then, in turn, lease the property back to the developer under a capital (financing) lease agreement ending on December 31, 2028. The developer agreed to pay the Industrial Revenue Bond principal and interest to the bond trust in lieu of rent to the City. The developer has also agreed to pay the City additional rents, in lieu of the City's *ad valorem* property tax lost on the development, in an amount equal to the *ad valorem* property tax rate plus \$1 for each \$1,000 of assessed value of the development.

#### NOTE L - IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Statement 83 – Certain Asset Retirement Obligations – Implementation in FY 2019 – This standard establishes criteria for determining liabilities for future costs associated with retirement of long-term tangible assets, such as sewer treatment plants or nuclear power plants. This standard is not applicable to the City.

Statement 88 – Certain Disclosures Related to Debt – Implementation in FY 2019 – This standard defines debt for the purposes of disclosures in the notes to the financial statements and requires that additional essential information be disclosed.

#### **NOTE M - FUTURE ACCOUNTING STANDARDS**

Statement 84 – Fiduciary Activities – Implementation in FY 2020

Statement 87 – Leases – Implementation in FY 2021

Statement 89 – Accounting for Interest Cost – Implementation in FY 2021

#### **NOTE N - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

Management has evaluated events through December 16, 2019, the date on which the financial statements were available for issue. The City did not have any events subsequent to June 30, 2019 through January 30, 2020 to disclose.

#### CITY OF FORT WRIGHT, KENTUCKY BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE GENERAL FUND For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

	Original	Final		Variance Favorable
	Budget	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)
Budgetary fund balance, July 1	\$ 4,464,181	\$ 4,464,181	\$ 6,355,007	\$ 1,890,826
Resources (inflows):				
Taxes	1,827,500	1,827,500	1,874,561	47,061
Licenses and Permits	2,347,500	2,347,500	2,679,370	331,870
Fines and Forfeitures	5,200	5,200	15,637	10,437
Uses of Money and Property	50,000	50,000	65,684	15,684
Intergovenmental	508,000	508,000	130,431	(377,569)
Current Services	420,800	420,800	325,898	(94,902)
Other Revenues	16,900	16,900	65,712	48,812
Interfund Transfers				
Amounts Available for Appropriation	9,640,081	9,640,081	11,512,300	1,872,219
Charges to Appropriations (outflows):				
Administration	503,224	503,224	515,819	(12,595)
Police	1,525,450	1,525,450	1,372,997	152,453
Fire/EMS	1,158,250	1,158,250	1,189,256	(31,006)
Public Works	1,235,150	1,235,150	761,104	474,046
Plant Operations	130,500	130,500	107,765	22,735
Capital Outlay	362,500	362,500	273,350	89,150
Interfund Transfers	723,000	723,000		723,000
Total Charges to Appropriations:	5,638,074	5,638,074	4,220,291	1,417,783
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30	\$ 4,002,007	\$ 4,002,007	\$ 7,292,009	\$ 3,290,002

## Reconciliation of Budgetary Basis to GAAP Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

Budgetary Fund Balance \$ 7,292,009

Plus: Prior Period Adjustment to Fund Balance 27,782

\$ 7,319,791

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

#### **NOTE -1 BUDGETING POLICIES**

The City follows the procedures established pursuant to Chapter 108 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements. The budget for the governmental fund type is adopted on a basis consistent with the general accepted accounting principles. Budgeted amounts in the financial statements are as adopted by the City Council.

#### CITY OF FORT WRIGHT, KENTUCKY BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE MUNICIPAL ROAD AID FUND For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

						,	Variance
	Original	Final				Favorable	
	Budget	Budget			Actual	(U	nfavorable)
Budgetary fund balance, July 1	\$ 1,003,832	\$	1,003,832	\$	1,091,174	\$	87,342
Resources (inflows):							
Intergovernmental	110,000		110,000		169,595		59,595
Uses of Money and Property	5,000		5,000		8,806		3,806
Interfund Transfers	650,000		650,000		-		(650,000)
Amounts Available for Appropriation	 1,768,832		1,768,832		1,269,575		(499,257)
Charges to Appropriations (outflows):							
Administration	25		25		12		13
Public Works	860,000		860,000		541,940		318,060
Total Charges to Appropriations:	 860,025		860,025		541,952		318,073
Budgetary Fund Balance, June 30	\$ 908,807	\$	908,807	\$	727,623	\$	(181,184)

## CITY OF FORT WRIGHT, KENTUCKY MULTIPLE EMPLOYER, COST SHARING, DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN DISCLOSURE - NON-HAZARDOUS Year Ended June 30, 2019

Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability														
County Employees' Retirement System (CERS)														
	2018		2017		2016		2015		2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Proportion of net pension liability	0.0110%		1.0670%		0.0130%		0.0380%		0.0140%					
Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset)	\$ 672,187	\$	623,787	\$	655,804	\$	588,586	\$	452,000					
Covered payroll in year of measurement	\$ 435,498	\$	312,238	\$	270,252	\$	347,193	\$	319,456					
Share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered payroll	154.35%		199.78%		242.66%		169.53%		141.49%					
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of total pension liability	53.54%		53.30%		55.50%		59.97%		66.80%					
					Schedule (	of th	ne City's Co	onti	ibutions					
			C				'Retiremer			RS)				
	2019		2018		2017		2016		2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Contractually required contribution	\$ 70,493	\$	63,060	\$	43,557	\$	33,565	\$	44,267	\$ 43,893				
Actual contribution	70,493		63,060		43,557		33,565		44,267	\$ 43,893				
Contribution deficiency (excess)	-		-		-		-		-	-				
Covered payroll	\$ 434,605	\$	435,498	\$	312,237	\$	270,250	\$	347,192	\$319,456				
Contributions as a percentage of employee payroll	16.22%		14.48%		13.95%		12.42%		12.75%	13.74%				

## Notes to Required Supplementary Information for the Year Ended June 30, 2019

The net pension liability as of June 30, 2019, is based on the June 30, 2018, actuarial valuation.. The changes to the elements of the pension expense, i.e. the difference between expected and actual experience, net difference between projected and actual earnings on plan investments, changes in assumptions, and the changes in proportion and differences between City's contributions and proportionate share of contributions are detailed in NOTE H in the Notes to the Financial Statements.

## CITY OF FORT WRIGHT, KENTUCKY MULTIPLE EMPLOYER, COST SHARING, DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN DISCLOSURE - HAZARDOUS Year Ended June 30, 2019

Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability County Employees' Retirement System (CERS)												
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009		
Proportion of net pension liability	0.2250%	0.2245%	0.2160%	0.2050%	0.2140%							
Proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset)	\$ 5,442,060	\$ 5,023,071	\$ 3,712,105	\$ 3,157,084	\$ 2,577,000							
Covered payroll in year of measurement	\$ 1,420,348	\$ 1,408,474	\$ 1,081,584	\$ 1,117,628	\$ 1,086,996							
Share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered payroll	383.15%	356.63%	343.21%	282.48%	237.08%							
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of total pension liability	49.26%	49.80%	57.52%	53.95%	63.46%							
Schedule of the City's Contributions County Employees' Retirement System (CERS)												
	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010		
Contractually required contribution	\$ 357,716	\$ 315,317	\$ 305,780	\$ 219,129	\$ 256,496	\$ 236,639						
Actual contribution	357,716	315,317	305,780	219,129	256,496	236,639						
Contribution deficiency (excess)	-	-	-	-	-	-						
Covered payroll	\$ 1,438,920	\$ 1,420,347	\$ 1,408,474	\$ 1,081,584	\$ 1,117,628	\$1,086,996						
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	24.86%	22.20%	21.71%	20.26%	22.95%	21.77%						
		N	otes to Require	ed Supplemen	tary Information	1						

### Notes to Required Supplementary Information for the Year Ended June 30, 2019

The net pension liability as of June 30, 2019, is based on the June 30, 2018, actuarial valuation.. The changes to the elements of the pension expense, i.e. the difference between expected and actual experience, net difference between projected and actual earnings on plan investments, changes in assumptions, and the changes in proportion and differences between City's contributions and proportionate share of contributions are detailed in NOTE H in the Notes to the Financial Statements.

### MULTIPLE EMPLOYER, COST SHARING, DEFINED BENEFIT OPEB PLAN DISCLOSURE - NON-HAZARDOUS

Year Ended June 30, 2019

## Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability County Employees' Retirement System (CERS)

County Employees' Retirement System (CERS)												
	2018		2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	
Proportion of net OPEB liability	0.0110%		0.0107%									
Proportionate share of the net OPEB liability (asset)	\$ 195,960	\$	214,242									
Covered payroll in year of measurement	\$ 435,498	\$	312,238									
Share of the net OPEB liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered payroll	45.00%		66.61%									
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of total OPEB liability	57.62%		52.40%									
				Schedu	ule of the City's C	Contributions						
			Cou	nty Emp	loyees' Retireme	ent System (CE	RS)					
	2019		2018	2017	7 2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	
Contractually required contribution	\$ 22,860	\$	20,468	\$ 14.	.769							

	2019	2018	2017	2016	2016 2015	2016 2015 2014	2016 2015 2014 2013	2016 2015 2014 2013 2012	2016 2015 2014 2013 2012 2011
Contractually required contribution	\$ 22,860	\$ 20,468	\$ 14,769		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Actual contribution	22,860	20,468	14,769						
Contribution deficiency (excess)	-	-	-						
Covered payroll	\$ 434,605	\$ 435,498	\$ 312,238						
Contributions as a percentage of									
covered payroll	5.26%	4.70%	4.73%						

## Notes to Required Supplementary Information for the Year Ended June 30, 2019

The net OPEB liability as of June 30, 2019, is based on the June 30, 2018, actuarial valuation.. The changes to the elements of the OPEB expense, i.e. the difference between expected and actual experience, net difference between projected and actual earnings on plan investments, changes in assumptions, and the changes in proportion and differences between City's contributions and proportionate share of contributions are detailed in NOTE H in the Notes to the Financial Statements.

#### MULTIPLE EMPLOYER, COST SHARING, DEFINED BENEFIT OPEB PLAN DISCLOSURE - HAZARDOUS

Year Ended June 30, 2019

Schedule of the City's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability
County Employees' Retirement System (CERS)

		COU	inty Employee	3 Nethenient	System (CLIV	3)				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Proportion of net OPEB liability	0.2250%	0.2245%								
Proportionate share of the net OPEB liability (asset)	\$ 1,604,408	\$ 1,856,018								
Covered payroll in year of measurement	\$ 1,420,348	\$ 1,408,474								
Share of the net OPEB liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered payroll	112.96%	131.78%								
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of total liability	64.24%	59.00%								
		Cou	Schedule of annual street of the schedule of t	the City's Cor s' Retirement		S)				
	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Contractually required contribution	\$ 150,655	\$ 132,803	\$ 131,692							
Actual contribution	150,655	132,803	131,692							
Contribution deficiency (excess)	-	-	-							
Covered payroll	\$ 1,438,920	\$ 1,420,348	\$ 1,408,474							
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	10.47%	9.35%	9.35%							
		Not	os to Poquiros	1 Supplement	ary Informatio	n				

## Notes to Required Supplementary Information for the Year Ended June 30, 2019

The net OPEB liability as of June 30, 2019, is based on the June 30, 2018, actuarial valuation.. The changes to the elements of the OPEB expense, i.e. the difference between expected and actual experience, net difference between projected and actual earnings on plan investments, changes in assumptions, and the changes in proportion and differences between City's contributions and proportionate share of contributions are detailed in NOTE H in the Notes to the Financial Statements.

# CITY OF FORT WRIGHT, KENTUCKY COMBINING BALANCE SHEET - Non-Major Governmental Funds June 30, 2019

	Parks and Recreation Fund		Dixie Kyles TIF Fund		Fire and EMS Fund		Asset Foreiture Fund		Total Non-Major Governmental Funds	
Assets										
Cash and Cash Equivalents Accounts Receivable	\$	67,499 -	\$	158,048 -	\$	707,695 44,054	\$	3,423	\$	936,665 44,054
Total Assets	\$	67,499	\$	158,048	\$	751,749	\$	3,423	\$	980,719
Liabilities and Fund Balances										
Liabilities										
Accounts Payable	_\$	558	\$		\$	1,454	\$		\$	2,012
Total Liabilities		558		_		1,454		-		2,012
Fund Balances										
Restricted		-		-		-		-		-
Committed		-		158,048		-		-		158,048
Assigned		66,941		-		750,295		3,423		820,659
Total Fund Balances		66,941		158,048		750,295		3,423		978,707
Total Liabilities and										
Fund Balances	\$	67,499	\$	158,048	\$	751,749	\$	3,423	\$	980,719

#### COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN

**FUND BALANCES - Non-major Governmental Funds** 

For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

	Parks and Recreation Fund		Dixie Kyles TIF Fund		Fire and EMS Fund		Asset Forfeiture Fund		Total Non-Major Governmental Funds	
Revenues Charges for Service Uses of Money and Property Grants and Contributions Other Revenue Total Revenues	\$	17,186 649 6,831 1,832 26,498	\$	- 14 22,720 - 22,734	\$	315,368 5,821 - - - 321,189	\$	- - - -	\$	332,554 6,484 29,551 1,832 370,421
Expenditures Current Parks and Recreation Fire / EMS Administration		21,016 - 16		- - -		- 15,259 19		- - 12		21,016 15,259 47
Total Expenditures  Excess (Deficit) of Revenues  Over (Under) Expensitures  Before Other Sources		21,032 5,466		22,734		15,278 305,911		(12)		36,322
Other Financing Sources (Uses) Transfers In Transfers Out Total Other Financing		- -		- -		<u>-</u>		- -		-
Sources (Uses)  Excess (Deficit) of Revenues  Over (Under) Expenditures		5,466		22,734		305,911		(12)		334,099
Fund Balances, Beginning of Year Fund Balances, End of Year	\$	61,475 66,941	\$	135,314 158,048	\$	444,384 750,295	\$	3,435 3,423	\$	644,608 978,707



Charles A. Van Gorder, CPA Lori A. Owen, CPA John R. Chamberlin, CPA, MBA Members of AICPA & KyCPA Licensed in Kentucky & Ohio

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of Council City of Fort Wright, Kentucky

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the City of Fort Wright, Kentucky as of June 30, 2019 and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the City of Fort Wright, Kentucky's financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 30, 2020.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the financial statements, we considered City of Fort Wright, Kentucky's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City of Fort Wright, Kentucky's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City of Fort Wright, Kentucky's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Fort Wright, Kentucky's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.



#### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

And Abada, Walker + Lo., Uhre.

Van Gorder, Walker & Co., Inc.

Fort Wright, Kentucky January 30, 2020